

# JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

<b>Bill Number:</b> HB 2271	<b>Title:</b> Sexually Violent Predators	<b>Agency:</b> 055 – Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)
--------------------------------	---	--

## Part I: Estimates

☒ **No Fiscal Impact**

### Estimated Cash Receipts to:

	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
<b>Total:</b>					

### Estimated Expenditures from:

STATE	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE – Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
General Fund – State (001-1)					
State Subtotal					
<b>COUNTY</b>					
County FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal					
<b>CITY</b>					
City FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local – Cities					
Cities Subtotal					
Local Subtotal					
<b>Total Estimated Expenditures:</b>					

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form parts I-V

☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 1/5/2018
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

## Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would overturn the Marcum decision regarding show cause hearings for conditional release trials.

### Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

A new section would be added to RCW 71.09 that would overturn the Marcum decision.

RCW 71.09.090 would be amended to state that the petitioner must overcome prima facie evidence that he or she continues to meet the definition of sexually violent predator and that a less restrictive alternative is not appropriate. The law would apply retroactively and prospectively to all petitions filed under chapter 71.09 RCW.

The bill is reiterating existing law so there is no impact to the courts.

### II.B - Cash Receipt Impact

### II.C – Expenditures

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

### III.A – Expenditures by Object or Purpose

	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE – Staff Years					
A – Salaries & Wages					
B – Employee Benefits					
C – Prof. Service Contracts					
E – Goods and Services					
G – Travel					
J – Capital Outlays					
P – Debt Service					
<b>Total:</b>					

### III.B – Detail:

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
<b>Total FTE's</b>						

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

None.

## Part V: New Rule Making Required

None.